

## Ruby master - Feature #5072

### Avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods

07/22/2011 08:02 AM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b>	nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)	
<b>Target version:</b>		
<b>Description</b>		
<p>I recently discovered a denial of service vulnerability in ActiveRecord's mass assignment methods related to the insecure use of ruby's reflection methods (e.g. respond_to?). Because these methods take strings and automatically create symbols from them, they are not safe to call with a string coming from the user. Because they create the symbol internally, they look safe, but if you pass user-created strings to these methods, you open yourself up to denial of service through memory exhaustion (see <a href="http://sequel.heroku.com/2011/07/16/dangerous-reflection/">http://sequel.heroku.com/2011/07/16/dangerous-reflection/</a>).</p> <p>This could be fixed using a fairly simple observation, which is that if you do:</p> <pre>respond_to?("foo")</pre> <p>and "foo" is not already in the symbol table, no method named "foo" can exist. So this code provides a patch that changes the reflection methods to return false immediately if given a string which doesn't already exist in the symbol table. There should be no performance impact from this, since the symbol table lookup has to be done anyway.</p> <p>I'm also adding an earlier patch I wrote that adds String#interned?, for checking if a string is already interned. There was an internal method for this added in r10932, but it must have been removed while the prototype was left in intern.h. String#interned? allows a user to check if a string is already in the symbol table, and can be used by user code to ensure that symbols are not created inadvertently.</p>		
<b>Related issues:</b>		
Related to Ruby master - Feature #5112: Remove inadvertent symbol creation fr...	<b>Closed</b>	<b>07/28/2011</b>
Follows Ruby master - Feature #5079: More removal of inadvertent symbol creation	<b>Closed</b>	<b>07/23/2011</b>
Follows Ruby master - Feature #5089: Even More Inadvertent Symbol Removal, An...	<b>Closed</b>	<b>07/24/2011</b>

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 34918aa8 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.
- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@32621 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e

##### Revision 32621 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.
- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

##### Revision 32621 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.
- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

##### Revision 32621 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.

- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

#### Revision 32621 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.
- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

#### Revision 32621 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.
- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

#### Revision 32621 - 07/22/2011 12:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- object.c (rb\_mod\_{const,cvar}\_defined, rb\_obj\_ivar\_defined): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
- vm\_method.c (rb\_mod\_method\_defined) (rb\_mod\_{public,private,protected}\_method\_defined) (obj\_respond\_to): ditto.
- parse.y (rb\_check\_id): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

#### Revision 298349d0 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@32685 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e

#### Revision 32685 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

#### Revision 32685 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

#### Revision 32685 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

#### Revision 32685 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

#### Revision 32685 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

#### Revision 32685 - 07/26/2011 04:05 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- vm\_method.c (obj\_respond\_to): fix the respond\_to\_missing? override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38417]. [Feature #5072]

## History

---

#### #1 - 07/22/2011 02:55 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

Quite nice idea! I am not going to make this specified behavior among implementations, but as an optimization it's great. I'd like to merge it, for 1.9.3, if the maintainer allows.

matz.

## #2 - 07/22/2011 03:33 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

Great. I'll work on a patch that fixes the backwards compatibility issues mentioned in the 0002 patch (e.g. that `instance_variable_defined?("foo")` does not raise `NameError`).

Would you like this optimization ported to `(class|instance)variable_get_remove(class|instance)variable_remove_const_and(public|instance)_method`? I don't think those methods are as likely to be used with user-provided strings, but it may be desired to handle those for consistency. I don't think `send` or `const_get` can use the optimization, since if the method or constant does not exist, they need to provide the symbol to `method_missing` or `const_missing`.

## #3 - 07/22/2011 03:53 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

Hi,

At Fri, 22 Jul 2011 14:55:40 +0900,  
Yukihiro Matsumoto wrote in [ruby-core:38386]:

Quite nice idea! I am not going to make this specified behavior among implementations, but as an optimization it's great. I'd like to merge it, for 1.9.3, if the maintainer allows.

I'm wondering who/when/why removed `rb_sym_interned_p()`. I was going to make a similar change formerly, but have forgotten it long time.

--  
Nobu Nakada

## #4 - 07/22/2011 09:06 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Status changed from Open to Closed  
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

This issue was solved with changeset r32621.  
Jeremy, thank you for reporting this issue.  
Your contribution to Ruby is greatly appreciated.  
May Ruby be with you.

- 
- `object.c` (`rb_mod_{const,cvar}_defined`, `rb_obj_ivar_defined`): avoid inadvertent symbol creation in reflection methods. based on a patch by Jeremy Evans at [ruby-core:38367]. [Feature #5072]
  - `vm_method.c` (`rb_mod_method_defined`) (`rb_mod_{public,private,protected}_method_defined`) (`obj_respond_to`): ditto.
  - `parse.y` (`rb_check_id`): new function returns already interned ID or 0.

## #5 - 07/23/2011 09:37 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Status changed from Closed to Assigned  
- Assignee set to nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

Hello,

2011/7/22 Jeremy Evans [merch-redmine@jeremyevans.net](mailto:merch-redmine@jeremyevans.net):

This could be fixed using a fairly simple observation, which is that if you do:

```
respond_to?("foo")
```

and "foo" is not already in the symbol table, no method named "foo" can exist.

Nobu seemed to commit your patch, but unfortunately, the observation is false because of `respond_to_missing?`.

```
class Foo
  def respond_to_missing?(mhd, flag)
    true
  end
end
```

`p Foo.new.respond_to?("foo")` #=> true in 1.9.2, false in trunk

In this case, it will be ok to check if `respond_to_missing?` is defined before `id` check. But whether this feature should be included in 1.9.3 or not, should be discussed more carefully, I think.

--

Yusuke Endoh [mame@tsg.ne.jp](mailto:mame@tsg.ne.jp)

**#6 - 07/23/2011 02:36 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)**

- File *0002-Fix-handling-of-respond\_to\_missing-after-r32621.patch* added

I guess the observation does fail for `respond_to?`, but it should hold for the other methods (both the ones in here [#5072](#) and the ones in [#5079](#)). I've attached a patch here to fix the `respond_to_missing?` override case, but of course that would remove the protection for any class that overrides `respond_to_missing?`. One way to workaround this is to relax the spec so that `respond_to_missing` is allowed to receive a string instead of a symbol as the first argument.

**#7 - 07/27/2011 01:05 AM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)**

- Status changed from *Assigned* to *Closed*

This issue was solved with changeset `r32685`.  
Jeremy, thank you for reporting this issue.  
Your contribution to Ruby is greatly appreciated.  
May Ruby be with you.

- 
- `vm_method.c (obj_respond_to)`: fix the `respond_to_missing?` override case. based on the patch by Jeremy Evans at [\[ruby-core:38417\]](#). [Feature [#5072](#)]

**Files**

---

0001-Add-String-interned-for-checking-if-a-string-is-alre.patch	2.59 KB	07/22/2011	jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)
0002-Avoid-inadvertent-symbol-creation-in-reflection-meth.patch	6.31 KB	07/22/2011	jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)
0002-Fix-handling-of-respond_to_missing-after-r32621.patch	1.93 KB	07/23/2011	jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)