

## Ruby master - Feature #17040

### cleanup include/ruby/backward\*

07/22/2020 05:14 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

<b>Status:</b>	Open
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal
<b>Assignee:</b>	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
I recently noticed <a href="#">[ruby-dev:35813]</a> :	
<pre>include/ruby/backward/2.0</pre>	
It reads: "How about the following. Will delete include/ruby/backward by 2.0."	
In reality, the directories lasted for the entire 2.x era. But isn't 3.0 a good time to finally delete them?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• include/ruby/backward/2/extern.h: deprecated since 1.8.0. <a href="#">PR</a></li><li>• include/ruby/backward/classext.h: deprecated since 1.9.3. <a href="#">PR</a><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ struct RClassDeprecated: deprecated since 2.3.0. <a href="#">PR</a></li></ul></li><li>• include/ruby/backward/rubysig.h: deprecated since 1.9.0. <a href="#">PR</a></li><li>• include/ruby/backward/rubyio.h: deprecated since 1.9.0. <a href="#">PR</a></li><li>• include/ruby/backward/st.h: deprecated since 1.9.0. <a href="#">PR</a></li><li>• include/ruby/backward/util.h: deprecated since 1.9.0. <a href="#">PR</a></li></ul>	

### History

#### #1 - 07/22/2020 05:16 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

I'm pretty confident that nobody is against such change. But opening an issue anyways, because this is a breaking change.

#### #2 - 07/22/2020 08:16 AM - sawa (Tsuyoshi Sawada)

- Description updated

#### #3 - 07/22/2020 08:21 AM - sawa (Tsuyoshi Sawada)

- Description updated

#### #4 - 08/26/2020 07:07 AM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)

It looks implementation detail and concurrent gems already supports new headers.  
Go ahead