

Ruby trunk - Bug #15672

Lambda implicit arguments, differences between lambda {} and -> {} specifications

03/18/2019 03:34 AM - takeshinoda (Takeshi Shinoda)

Status: Closed	
Priority: Normal	
Assignee:	
Target version:	
ruby -v: ruby 2.7.0dev (2019-03-18 trunk 67291) [x86_64-darwin18]	Backport: 2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: UNKNOWN, 2.6: UNKNOWN
Description	
Hi	
Are the following differences intentional?	
<pre>\$ ruby -v ruby 2.7.0dev (2019-03-18 trunk 67291) [x86_64-darwin18] \$ cat ptn1.rb a = -> { p @1 } a.call(1) \$ ruby ptn1.rb Traceback (most recent call last): 1: from ptn1.rb:2:in `<main>' ptn1.rb:1:in `block in <main>': wrong number of arguments (given 1, expected 0) (ArgumentError) \$ cat ptn2.rb a = lambda { p @1 } a.call(1) \$ ruby ptn2.rb 1</pre>	
thanks	

Associated revisions

Revision 964bbc16 - 03/18/2019 12:48 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

parse.y: numbered parameter in lambda

- parse.y (lambda): support numbered parameters, only when no argument list including empty parentheses, like empty vertical bars. [ruby-core:91859] [Bug #15672]

git-svn-id: svn+ssh://ci.ruby-lang.org/ruby/trunk@67295 b2dd03c8-39d4-4d8f-98ff-823fe69b080e

Revision 67295 - 03/18/2019 12:48 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

parse.y: numbered parameter in lambda

- parse.y (lambda): support numbered parameters, only when no argument list including empty parentheses, like empty vertical bars. [ruby-core:91859] [Bug #15672]

History

#1 - 03/18/2019 06:12 AM - Hanmac (Hans Mackowiak)

Duplicate of [#15620](#)

#2 - 03/18/2019 11:51 AM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)

Koichi said it will be fixed. :)

(I guess this was never intentional, thus a bug.)

#3 - 03/18/2019 12:48 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Applied in changeset [trunk|r67295](#).

parse.y: numbered parameter in lambda

- parse.y (lambda): support numbered parameters, only when no argument list including empty parentheses, like empty vertical bars.
[ruby-core:91859] [Bug [#15672](#)]

#4 - 03/19/2019 01:10 PM - nobu (Nobuyoshi Nakada)

Hanmac (Hans Mackowiak) wrote:

Duplicate of [#15620](#)

It is irrelevant.