

## Ruby master - Feature #15413

### unmarkable C stack (3rd stack)

12/14/2018 09:32 PM - normalperson (Eric Wong)

<b>Status:</b>	Open
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal
<b>Assignee:</b>	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
<p>The current machine (C) stack can get pretty big for some C functions (rb_ensure, rb_f_select/rb_thread_fd_select/...). This is harmful when we stop a fiber/thread and all that stack becomes eligible for marking.</p> <p>We should experiment a bump allocator for temporary allocations which behaves like the stack, but does not get marked by GC. VALUEs will continue to be allocated on normal C stack, but non-VALUE stuff can go to the unmarkable machine stack.</p> <p>Maybe we call it "UMMS" for Un-Markable Machine Stack</p> <p>We cannot remove marking of the current C stack for compatibility; but we can transition existing C code to use UMMS.</p> <p>I probably won't be around to work on it for 2.7, unfortunately.</p>	

#### History

##### #1 - 12/15/2018 01:04 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

On 2018/12/15 6:32, [normalperson@yhbt.net](mailto:normalperson@yhbt.net) wrote:

We should experiment a bump allocator for temporary allocations which behaves like the stack, but does not get marked by GC. VALUEs will continue to be allocated on normal C stack, but non-VALUE stuff can go to the unmarkable machine stack.

memory space for alloca()?

--

// SASADA Koichi at atdot dot net

##### #2 - 12/15/2018 01:13 AM - normalperson (Eric Wong)

Koichi Sasada [ko1@atdot.net](mailto:ko1@atdot.net) wrote:

On 2018/12/15 6:32, [normalperson@yhbt.net](mailto:normalperson@yhbt.net) wrote:

We should experiment a bump allocator for temporary allocations which behaves like the stack, but does not get marked by GC. VALUEs will continue to be allocated on normal C stack, but non-VALUE stuff can go to the unmarkable machine stack.

memory space for alloca()?

Partially, but non-alloca structs also add up, too. The select()-based auto-fiber had trouble because of select\_args and rb\_ensure\_list\_t sizes, so I needed to move some allocations around.

rb\_ensure\_list\_t overhead is only for callcc, anyways, so maybe we can also have a lightweight version of rb\_ensure when we don't have user code which may call callcc. We use rb\_ensure for sleeping in queue/mutex/waitpid/... and other places which never switch stack with callcc.