

Ruby master - Feature #12957

A more OO way to create lambda Procs

11/18/2016 07:09 PM - justcolin (Colin Fulton)

| | | |
|---|----------|-----------------|
| Status: | Feedback | |
| Priority: | Normal | |
| Assignee: | | |
| Target version: | | |
| Description | | |
| <p>Currently to create a lambda Proc one has to use <code>lambda { }</code> or <code>-> { }</code>. For doing metaprogramming it would be nice to have a more OO way to generate them. Something like <code>LambdaProc.new</code>. That way one could write:</p> | | |
| <pre>class MetaThingy def initialize proc_class @anonymous_function = proc_class.new do # Some Code end end end</pre> | | |
| <p>and pass in either Proc or LambdaProc depending on their needs, instead of:</p> | | |
| <pre>class MetaThingy def initialize proc_type @anonymous_function = case proc_type when :proc proc do # Some Code end when :lambda lambda do # Some Code end end end end</pre> | | |
| <p>This is not a common use case, but would help make the language more orthogonal.</p> | | |
| Related issues: | | |
| Related to Ruby master - Feature #7314: Convert Proc to Lambda doesn't work i... | | Assigned |
| Related to Ruby master - Feature #15973: Let Kernel#lambda always return a la... | | Closed |

History

#1 - 11/20/2016 01:38 PM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

Problem is, when you allow `LambdaProc.new`, that have to accept non-lambda procs, like `LambdaProc.new(&nonlambda)`. This way, a proc would be converted from/between lambda and non-lambda.

This is not a good idea. Right now a lambda is born to be a lambda and there is no way to turn it into a proc. If such property breaks, a programmer cannot guarantee what to expect to a block they wrote's parameter, because that proc can later be changed into a lambda by someone else. And there is no way to detect such change from that block itself before it is called.

#2 - 11/20/2016 01:41 PM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

- Related to Feature #7314: Convert Proc to Lambda doesn't work in MRI added

#3 - 01/12/2017 05:07 PM - dsisnero (Dominic Sisneros)

I want this too

```
MyLambda = Class.new Proc
```

I want `MyLambda{|x| x + 1}.lambda?` to `== true`

I only want it when initializing the new lambdas. I want easy initialization with a block

Maybe allow `Proc.new` to accept `true` or `false`

```
class Proc
  def initialize(lmbda = false, &block)
    if lmbda
      __lambda__ = true
    end
    block = block
  end
end

then

class MyLambda
  def initialize(&block)
    super(true, &block)
  end
end

MyLambda.new{|x| x + 1}.lambda? == true
```

#4 - 01/19/2017 08:40 AM - akr (Akira Tanaka)

- Status changed from Open to Feedback

It is not impossible to generate `MyLambda.new {}` as `lambda`.

```
% ruby -e '
class MyLambda
end
class << MyLambda
  alias new lambda
  public :new
end
x = MyLambda.new { p :foo }
p x.lambda?
x.call
'
true
:foo
```

I'm not sure this is enough for actual usages, though.

#5 - 01/19/2017 09:00 AM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

The code above does not return a `MyLambda` instance.

Matz.

#6 - 01/24/2017 08:43 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

Actually, it is possible to create a single block of code that can be `proc` or `lambda` with `#send`:

```
> Kernel.send(:lambda) {}.lambda?
=> true
> Kernel.send(:proc) {}.lambda?
=> false
```

I was surprised as well this worked, the `Kernel#lambda` method is quite magic:

https://github.com/graalvm/truffleruby/pull/12#discussion_r96889356

#7 - 07/03/2019 01:59 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

- Related to Feature #15973: Let `Kernel#lambda` always return a `lambda` added