

Ruby master - Feature #12399

Restricted, safe version of `Kernel#eval`

05/19/2016 03:25 PM - sawa (Tsuyoshi Sawada)

Status:	Feedback
Priority:	Normal
Assignee:	
Target version:	
Description	
Kernel#eval is convenient, but sometimes, it can be a security risk, and often people crazily react against using it even when it is not dangerous.	
I propose to have a restricted version of eval, which can interpret Ruby literals, but whenever there is constant assignment, variable assignment, method call, or method definition, it raises an error.	
It can be used to safely accept parameters given as a string. One example use is, parameter interpretation of command line option parser can be easily be done under the assumption that the parameter is given as Ruby expression.	

History

#1 - 05/20/2016 02:25 AM - shyouhei (Shyouhei Urabe)

I doubt if such thing could be more useful than JSON or YAML... Both are more widely adopted to non-ruby CLI users like system admins.

#2 - 05/20/2016 10:11 AM - naruse (Yui NARUSE)

It should be done as a gem.

#3 - 06/24/2016 06:51 AM - hsbt (Hiroshi SHIBATA)

- Status changed from Open to Feedback

#4 - 06/13/2017 12:24 AM - shevegen (Robert A. Heiler)

I am neutral on this; I can see that it can be useful. Not sure if matz wants to have it though, I guess there is a reason that "eval" is just one letter away from "evil". :D

I wanted to add only one thing though - shyouhei gave the valid comment that JSON and YAML are more widely adopted and used, but I wanted to say that although for most people, it may not always be interchangeable. I give you an example that may be rare, and unusual - no problem, I am not saying that it is valid for many, just one example of a slight difference.

YAML files have to be valid UTF-8 I think and perhaps UTF-16 or something. My yaml files are mostly still invalid (I am lazy, I know). I use the old syck gem very happily which works just fine - tenderlove and others make occasional updates to keep syck going, which is very nice. Hiroshi Shibata is also one of the maintainers of the syck gem. :)

Anyway - I sometimes break stuff in very unusual, dumb ways. And then I may have some problem e. g. that my yaml files do not work but I also can not get syck to install, because "gem" requires openssl. I had this problem just today and yesterday when I was experimenting with another openssl version.

Anyway - during the time of when gem was not working, ruby itself would still work, e. g. I could use `irb` and such. So in the above example, and please again, keep in mind, my example is dumb, partially contrived and not typical for many other people :), in that example, the ruby internal eval variant would still work whereas the yaml variant would not work.

This is not a good example, but my main point is just that the two, e. g. eval on ruby core itself, or yaml, are not fully interchangeable.

That does not mean that I am in favour or disfavour of the suggestion by Tsuyoshi Sawada by the way - my main point was just to say that it is not completely the same whether it would be yaml/json/eval. Although it may indeed be that the use case is possibly too limited ... it has been quite a long time since I last used eval() (I mean eval() itself ... I use instance_eval a lot). Sorry for the length of my reply here.